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1. Background and Context

The Italian National Agency for the Evaluation of Universities and Research Institutes (ANVUR), established by the Presidential Decree 76/2010, is an independent public body which, among its various tasks, oversees the national public quality assessment system for public and private universities and is responsible for the institutional and programme assessment and accreditation.

The QA system has been introduced in Italy by the Law 240/2010 and the Legislative Decree n. 19/2012. Following this legislation, the Agency has developed its own assessment criteria, methodologies and procedures to fulfill its tasks, in strict adherence to Standards and Guidelines for Quality Assurance in the European Higher Education Area (ESG).

To date, the higher education institutions participating to the system are 97, divided as follows: 61 public universities, 19 private universities, 11 private distance-universities (e-learning programmes only), 6 special tertiary education schools.

The QA system is called AVA (Autovalutazione, Valutazione periodica, Accreditamento - Self-assessment, Periodic Evaluation, Accreditation) and is operational since 2013. AVA provides for the self-assessment by programmes and institutions, concerning their internal procedures and the outcomes of their activities, and an external assessment of the quality assurance systems by ANVUR, based on site visits and document analysis. In its institutional assessment, ANVUR performs inter alia a detailed examination of a sample of Departments and programmes. In each institution the internal Quality Assurance (QA) key actors are the following: the Nucleo di Valutazione (Independent Evaluation Unit), the Commissioni paritetiche docenti-studenti (Joint Teaching-Student Committees), the Presidio di Qualità (Unit responsible for the internal QA system).

Moreover, ANVUR carries out other activities concerning tertiary education programmes and institutions; however, these tasks are assigned by specific pieces of legislation, which do not refer to QA and to the ESG. More specifically, accreditation of PhD Programmes takes place through an analysis of a number of indicators, concerning the research quality of the PhD Board and the overall scientific profile of the project. Accreditation of Post Graduate Medical Schools, on the other hand, it is a complex process, involving different actors, including: the Ministry of Health; its agency, AGENAS, which is in charge of assessing the quality of the medical facilities of the Schools; the Ministry of Education and Research; ANVUR, which is in charge of evaluating the scientific quality of the Schools’ Board. Finally, the Institutional and Programme Accreditation of Arts and Music Institutions is limited to a specific subset of institutions or programmes.
ANVUR has been an affiliate of ENQA since September 2013 and is applying for ENQA membership. ANVUR is also applying for registration on EQAR.

2. Purpose and Scope of the Evaluation

This review, will evaluate the way in which and to what extent ANVUR fulfils the Standards and Guidelines for Quality Assurance in the European Higher Education Area (ESG). Consequently, the review will provide information to the ENQA Board to aid its consideration of whether membership of ANVUR should be reconfirmed and to EQAR to support ANVUR application to the register.

The review panel is not expected, however, to make any judgements as regards granting membership.

2.1 Activities of ANVUR within the scope of the ESG

In order for ANVUR to apply for ENQA membership and for registration in EQAR, this review will analyse all ANVUR activities that are within the scope of the ESG, i.e. reviews, audits, evaluations or accreditation of higher education institutions or programmes that relate to teaching and learning (and their relevant links to research and innovation). This is regardless of whether these activities are carried out within or outside the EHEA, and whether they are obligatory or voluntary.

The following activities of ANVUR have to be addressed in the external review:

- Accreditation of new programmes;
- Accreditation of new Higher Education Institutions;
- Periodic assessment of accredited Institutions;
- Periodic assessment of accredited programmes;
- Authorization of PhD Programmes;
- Authorization of Post-Graduate Medical Programmes;
- Institutional and Programme Accreditation of Arts and Music Institutions.

3. The Review Process

The process is designed in the light of the Guidelines for ENQA Agency Reviews and in line with the requirements of the EQAR Procedures for Applications.

The evaluation procedure consists of the following steps:

- Formulation of the Terms of Reference and protocol for the review;
- Nomination and appointment of the review panel;
- Self-assessment by ANVUR including the preparation of a self-assessment report;
- A site visit by the review panel to ANVUR;
- Preparation and completion of the final evaluation report by the review panel;
- Scrutiny of the final evaluation report by the ENQA Review Committee;
- Analysis of the scrutiny by the ENQA Board and their decision regarding ENQA membership;
- Follow-up of the panel’s and/or ENQA Board’s recommendations by the agency, including a voluntary follow-up visit.

3.1 Nomination and appointment of the review team members

The review panel consists of four members: one or two quality assurance experts, an academic employed by a higher education institution, student member, and eventually a labour market
representative (if requested). One of the members will serve as the chair of the review panel, and
another member as a review secretary. For ENQA Agency Reviews at least one of the reviewers is an
ENQA nominee (most often the QA professional[s]). At least one of the reviewers is appointed from
the nominees of either the European University Association (EUA) or the European Association of
Institutions in Higher Education (EURASHE), and the student member is always selected from among
the ESU-nominated reviewers. If requested, the labour market representative may come from the
Business Europe nominees or from ENQA. An additional panel member may be included in the panel
at the request of the agency under review. In this case, an additional fee to cover the reviewer’s fee
and travel expenses is applied.

In addition to the four members, the panel will be supported by the ENQA Secretariat review
coordinator who will monitor the integrity of the process and ensure that ENQA expectations are
met throughout the process. The ENQA staff member will not be the Secretary of the review and will
not participate in the discussions during the site visit interviews.

Current members of the ENQA Board are not eligible to serve as reviewers.

ENQA will provide ANVUR with the list of suggested experts with their respective curriculum vitae to
establish that there are no known conflicts of interest. The experts will have to sign a non-conflict of
interest statement as regards ANVUR review.

3.2 Self-assessment by ANVUR, including the preparation of a self-assessment report

ANVUR is responsible for the execution and organisation of its own self-assessment process and shall
take into account the following guidance:

- Self-assessment is organised as a project with a clearly defined schedule and includes all
  relevant internal and external stakeholders;
- The self-assessment report is broken down by the topics of the evaluation and is expected to
  contain, among others: a brief description of the national HE and QA system; background
  description of the current situation of the Agency; an analysis and appraisal of the current
  situation; proposals for improvement and measures already planned; a SWOT analysis; each
  criterion (ESG part II and III) addressed individually. All agency’s QA
  activities (whether within
  their national jurisdiction or outside of it, and whether obligatory or voluntary) will be
  described and their compliance with the ESG analysed.
- The report is well-structured, concise and comprehensively prepared. It clearly demonstrates
  the extent to which ANVUR fulfils its tasks of external quality assurance and meets the ESG
  and thus the requirements of ENQA membership.
- The self-assessment report is submitted to the ENQA Secretariat who has 4 weeks to pre-
  scrutinise it before forwarding the report to the panel of experts. The purpose of the pre-
  scrutiny is to ensure that the self-assessment report is satisfactory for the consideration of
  the panel. The Secretariat will not judge the content of information itself but whether the
  necessary information, as stated in the Guidelines for ENQA Agency Reviews, is present. For
  the second and subsequent reviews, the agency is expected to enlist the recommendations
  provided in the previous review and to outline actions taken to meet these
  recommendations. In case the self-assessment report does not contain the necessary
  information and fails to respect the requested form and content, the ENQA Secretariat
  reserves the right to reject the report and ask for a revised version within 4 weeks. In such
  cases, an additional fee of 1000 € will be charged to the agency.
- The report is submitted to the review panel a minimum of six weeks prior to the site visit.

3.3 A Site Visit by the Review Panel

ANVUR will draw up a draft proposal of the schedule for the site visit to be submitted to the review
panel at least two months before the planned dates of the visit. The schedule includes an indicative
timetable of the meetings and other exercises to be undertaken by the review panel during the site visit, the duration of which is 2.5 days. The approved schedule shall be given to ANVUR at least one month before the site visit, in order to properly organise the requested interviews.

The review panel will be assisted by ANVUR in arriving in Rome, Italy.

The site visit will close with a final de-briefing meeting outlining the panel’s overall impressions but not its judgement on compliance or granting of ENQA membership.

3.4 Preparation and completion of the final evaluation report

On the basis of the review panel’s findings, the review secretary will draft the report in consultation with the review panel. The report will take into account the purpose and scope of the evaluation as defined under articles 2 and 2.1. It will also provide a clear rationale for its findings with regards to each ESG. A draft will be first submitted to the ENQA review coordinator who will check the report for consistency, clarity and language and it will be then submitted to ANVUR within 11 weeks of the site visit for comment on factual accuracy. If ANVUR chooses to provide a statement in reference to the draft report it will be submitted to the chair of the review panel within two weeks after the receipt of the draft report. Thereafter the review panel will take into account the statement by ANVUR, finalise the document and submit it to ENQA.

The report is to be finalised within three months of the site visit and will not exceed 40 pages in length.

When preparing the report, the review panel should also bear in mind the EQAR Policy on the Use and Interpretation of the ESG, so as to ensure that the report will contain sufficient information for the Register Committee for application to EQAR.

ANVUR is also requested to provide a letter addressed to the ENQA Board outlining its motivation applying for membership and the ways in which ANVUR expects to contribute to the work and objectives of ENQA during its membership. This letter will be discussed along with the final evaluation report.

4. Follow-up Process and Publication of the Report

ANVUR will consider the expert panel’s report and will publish it on its website once the ENQA Board has made its decision. The report will also be published on the ENQA website, regardless of the review outcome and decision by the ENQA Board. ANVUR commits to preparing a follow-up plan in which it addresses the recommendations of the review panel and to submitting a follow-up report to the ENQA Board. The follow-up report will be published on the ENQA website, in addition to the full review report and the Board’s decision.

The follow-up report will be complemented by a small-scale visit to the agency performed by two members of the original panel (whenever possible). This visit will be used to discuss issues, based on the ESG, considered as of particular importance or challenge by ANVUR. Its purpose is entirely developmental and has no impact on the judgement of membership and/or compliance of the agency with the ESG. Should the agency not wish to take advantage of this opportunity, it may opt out by informing the ENQA Review Coordinator about this.

5. Use of the report

ENQA shall retain ownership of the report. The intellectual property of all works created by the expert panel in connection with the review contract, including specifically any written reports, shall be vested in ENQA.

The review report is used by the Board of ENQA for the purpose of reaching a conclusion on whether ANVUR has met the ESG and can be thus admitted/reconfirmed as a member of ENQA. The report
will also be used for registration on EQAR, and is designed so as to serve these two purposes. However, the review report is to be considered final only after being approved by the ENQA Board. Once submitted to ANVUR and ENQA and until it is approved by the Board the report may not be used or relied upon by ANVUR, the panel and any third party and may not be disclosed without the prior written consent of ENQA. ANVUR may use the report at its discretion only after the Board has approved of the report. The approval of the report is independent of the decision on membership.

The Chair of the panel shall remain available to respond to questions of clarification or further information from the EQAR Register Committee provided that the ENQA Secretariat is copied in all such requests.

6. Budget

ANVUR shall pay the following review related fees:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fee/Expense</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fee of the Chair</td>
<td>4,500 EUR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fee of the Secretary</td>
<td>4,500 EUR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fee of the 2 other panel members</td>
<td>4,000 EUR (2,000 EUR each)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fee of 2 panel members for follow-up visit</td>
<td>1,000 EUR (500 EUR each)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Administrative overhead for ENQA Secretariat</td>
<td>7,000 EUR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Experts Training fund</td>
<td>1,400 EUR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Approximate travel and subsistence expenses</td>
<td>6,000 EUR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Travel and subsistence expenses follow-up visit</td>
<td>1,600 EUR</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

This gives a total indicative cost of 30,000.00 EUR VAT excl. for a review team of 4 members. In the case that the allowance for travel and subsistence expenses is exceeded, ANVUR will cover any additional costs after the completion of the review. However, the ENQA Secretariat will endeavour to keep the travel and subsistence expenses in the limits of the planned budget, and will refund the difference to ANVUR if the travel and subsistence expenses go under budget.

The fee of the follow-up visit is included in the overall cost of the review and will not be reimbursed in case the agency does not wish to benefit from it.

In the event of a second site visit required by the Board and aiming at completing the assessment of compliance, and should the agency accept a second visit, an additional fee of 500 EUR per expert, as well as travel and subsistence costs are recoverable from the agency.
### 7. Indicative Schedule of the Review

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Event</th>
<th>Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Agreement on terms of reference</td>
<td>March 2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Appointment of review panel members</td>
<td>June/July 2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Self-assessment completed</td>
<td>By July 2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pre-screening of SAR by ENQA coordinator</td>
<td>August 2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preparation of site visit schedule and indicative timetable</td>
<td>September 2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Briefing of review panel members</td>
<td>October 2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Review panel site visit</td>
<td>November 2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Draft of evaluation report and submitting it to ENQA coordinator for</td>
<td>By January 2019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pre-screening</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Draft of evaluation report to ANVUR</td>
<td>January/February 2019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Statement of ANVUR to review panel if necessary</td>
<td>February 2019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Submission of final report to ENQA</td>
<td>March 2019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consideration of the report by ENQA Board and response of ANVUR</td>
<td>April 2019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Publication of the report</td>
<td>April 2019</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>